

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,982	02/22/2002	Roger L. Johnston	1266.015	9956
75	90 04/07/2005		EXAM	INER
Timothy E. Newholm			CHIN, PAUL T	
BOYLE, FREDRICKSON, NEWHOLM, STEIN & GRATZ S.C. 250 Plaza, Suite 1030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
250 East Wisconsin Avenue			3652	
Milwaukee, WI 53202			D. TT. V. V. ED. 04/07/000	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Cumman	10/080,982	JOHNSTON, ROGER L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	PAUL T. CHIN	3652			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 January 2005</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 February 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ste alent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/080,982 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment filed January 18, 2005, and the arguments presented therewith have been carefully fully considered and they are persuasive in light of the amended claims. However, the arguments are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. A non-final office action follows below.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5,7-11,17-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Titford (6,435,766).

Titford (6,435,766) discloses a mobile vehicle and method to lift a load, comprising first, second, and third booms (see Figs. 3-5), each of which having a mobile base (13,13,13) that is independently support on the ground and a vertically extendible lift leg (14,14,114) (Fig. 5) supported on the base; and the first boom (14 or 114) laterally between the second and third booms (Fig. 3) substantially interconnected the lift legs; a plurality of horizontal beams (12,16,116) (Fig. 3) that functionally interconnected the lift leg and that are raisable (see Fig. 4) with the booms to lift a load (200); wherein one of the beams is linearly extendible (see Fig. 3) to increase the horizontal spacing between the two beams.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,982 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

Re claims 4 and 5, each of the first and second beams (16 or 116) comprises a telescoping tube assembly having at least one inner tube (see Figs. 3 and 12) and at least one outer tube slidable over the inner tube powered by a hydraulic cylinder (92) or a hydraulic motor (153).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Titford (6,435,766).

Titford (6,435,766), as presented above, discloses each of the first and second beams (16 or 116) comprises a telescoping tube assembly having at least one inner tube (see Figs. 3 and 12) and at least one outer tube slidable over the inner tube powered by a hydraulic cylinder (92) or a hydraulic motor (153). Titford (6,435,766) does not show a pair of cylinders on each of the first and second beams. However, it would have been obvious design choice to provide an extra (second) cylinder on each of the beams (16 or 116) on the Titford (6,435,766) to efficiently extend or retract the beams.

6. Claims 12-16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Titford (6,435,766) in view of Felix (4,253,256).

Titford (6,435,766), as presented above, shows that each wheel (18) is rotatable about 160 degree (Col 5, lines 10-35), but does not show that each wheel is rotatable 360 degree. However, Felix (4,253,256) discloses a heavy duty vehicle comprising an extendable cylinder (46), a shaft (32) and a wheel assembly (4,4) (Fig. 4) being rotatable about 360 degree at any direction (Col 8, lines 10-49). Accordingly, it would have been obvious design choice to modify each wheel (18) of Titford's vehicle (6,435,766) as taught by Felix (4,253,256) so that at least one or each wheel of the modified would be rotatable around 360 degree providing more flexibility to control the vehicle. Re claim 15, the modified Titford (6,435,766) shows a telescoping tube assembly having

Page 4

at least one inner tube (see Figs. 3 and 12) and at least one outer tube slidable over the inner tube powered by a hydraulic cylinder (92) or a hydraulic motor (153), but does not show a pair of cylinders on each of the first and second beams. However, it would have been obvious design choice to provide an extra (second) cylinder on each of the beams (16 or 116) on the Titford (6,435,766) to efficiently extend or retract the beams.

#### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 8. disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL T. CHIN whose telephone number is (571) 272-6922. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS (7:30 -6:00 PM).

Application/Control Number: 10/080,982

Art Unit: 3652

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, EILEEN LILLIS can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PAUL T. CHIN Examiner

Paul Cli

Art Unit 3652